Letter of Notification for Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Relocation Project Update



BOUNDLESS ENERGY"

PUCO Case No. 17-2441-EL-BLN

Submitted to: The Ohio Power Siting Board Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code Section 4906-6-05

Submitted by: AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc.

December 20, 2017

Letter of Notification Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Relocation Project Update

4906-6-05

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. ("AEP Ohio Transco") provides this Letter of Notification ("LON") to the Ohio Power Siting Board ("OPSB") in accordance with the requirements of the Ohio Administrative Code Chapter 4906-6-05.

4906-6-5(B) General Information

B(1) Project Description

The name of the project and applicant's reference number, names, and reference number(s) of resulting circuits, a brief description of the project, and why the project meets the requirements for a Letter of Notification.

AEP Ohio Transco has identified the need to relocate a segment of the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line (the "Project") in York Township, Athens County, Ohio. The Project consists of the removal of approximately 0.16 miles of existing 138 kV transmission line that terminates within AEP Ohio Transco's Poston Station and construction of approximately 0.40 miles of new 138 kV transmission line that will terminate within AEP Ohio Transco's proposed Lemaster Station. The LON application for the proposed Lemaster Station project has been filed with the OPSB separately under PUCO Case Nos. 16-2314-EL-BLN and 17-2281-EL-BLN in December 2016 and November 2017.

A LON for the Project was previously submitted to the OPSB on April 4, 2017, under PUCO Case No. 17-0635-EL-BLN. The location of the proposed Lemaster Station has shifted slightly east of the previously proposed location outlined in the December 2016 LON for Lemaster Station and therefore the proposed Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line relocation "Project Area" has also shifted slightly to the east. Figures 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 in Appendix A show the existing Poston Station location, the general revised location of the proposed Lemaster Stration, the previously proposed Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line relocation, and the revised Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line relocation, and the revised Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line relocation.

The proposed transmission line removal work for this Project will occur on property owned by AEP Ohio Transco (Parcels P010010000100 and P010010000109). The proposed transmission line construction work for this Project will occur on property owned by AEP Ohio Transco (Parcels P010010000100, P010010000104, P010010000109) and property owned by the Athens County Port Authority (Parcel P010010000105). AEP Ohio Transco has secured an option to purchase property from the Athens County Port Authority for this Project. No other property acquisition or easements are required to construct and operate the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line. Technical features of this project are discussed in Section B9.

The Project meets the requirements for a LON because it is within the types of projects defined by (1)(b) of Appendix A to O.A.C. 4906-1-01, *Application Requirement Matrix For Electric Power Transmission Lines*:

- (1) New construction, extension, or relocation of single or multiple circuit electric power transmission line(s), or upgrading existing transmission or distribution line(s) for operation at a higher transmission voltage, as follows:
- (b) Line(s) greater than 0.2 miles in length but not greater than two miles in length.

B(2) Statement of Need

If the proposed project is an electric power transmission line or natural gas transmission line, a statement explaining the need for the proposed facility.

The Lemaster 138 kV Station (filed separately under PUCO Case Nos. 16-2314-EL-BLN and 17-2281-EL-BLN) is being developed to replace Poston Station, which will be retired and removed. Poston Station is currently positioned within a floodplain and is comprised of deteriorated equipment installed in the 1940s and 1950s. The equipment in Poston Station poses a safety concern and no longer complies with AEP safety standards. The drivers for replacement of the equipment are age, dielectric strength breakdown, short circuit strength breakdown, and accessory damage. The site where Poston Station currently sits has been subject to flooding in the past, posing a safety concern, as well as increases the difficulty of maintaining and repairing existing structures.

The purpose of this Project is to energize the proposed Lemaster Station and is part of a series of improvements to enhance the reliability of electric service in Athens County and the greater Southern Ohio area. The proposed Project, in combination with the proposed Lemaster Station project, is required to alleviate voltage concerns throughout the Southern Ohio area. The Project will improve the reliability of the transmission network in southeast Ohio and provide adequate voltage on the local 138 kV system under N-1 contingency conditions per the applicable system planning criteria. More information on this project can be found in Table 10 of the Long Term Forecast Report to be submitted to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

B(3) Project Location

The applicant shall provide the location of the project in relation to existing or proposed lines and substations shown on an area system map of sufficient scale and size to show existing and proposed transmission facilities in the project area.

Figures 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 in Appendix A show the location of the Project in relation to other existing AEP Ohio Transco transmission lines, the existing Poston Station, and the proposed Lemaster Station.

B(4) Alternatives Considered

The applicant shall describe the alternatives considered and reasons why the proposed location or route is best suited for the proposed facility. The discussion shall include, but not be limited to, impacts associated with socioeconomic, ecological, construction, or engineering aspects of the project.

Replacing all equipment in place within Poston Station was considered, but it was determined not to be practical, as the station is regularly flooded. In addition, outages are difficult to obtain to replace the equipment because the majority of the equipment is in need of replacement. The estimated cost of replacing this equipment in place is \$15,000,000, not including the cost that may potentially arise from further water damage to the station. Constructing Lemaster Station as a greenfield station is seen as a viable and preferable alternative, as it ensures the longevity of station equipment in comparison to the possibility of more frequent equipment replacement within Poston Station.

This Project minimizes impacts to the community and the environment, while taking into account the engineering and construction needs of the Project (see Sections B9 and B10 for further discussion of socioeconomic, ecological, construction, and engineering aspects of the project). The proposed Project will occur on property owned by AEP Ohio Transco and the Athens County Port Authority. No streams are located in the Project Area, and there are no residences within 1,000 feet of the Project Area. The Project Area is currently undeveloped and primarily nonforested. One emergent wetland is located in the Project Area. However, proposed transmission line removal and relocation activities are not expected to result in the discharge of fill material into this wetland, and timber mats will be utilized at the wetland location if equipment crossings are Limited amounts of potentially suitable Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis; federally required. endangered) and northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis; federally threatened) habitat is present within the Project Area, though no potential roost trees or hibernacula for these species were observed during threatened and endangered species habitat assessment field surveys completed for the Project. No potential habitat for other federally listed species was observed within the Project Area.

B(5) Public Information Program

The applicant shall describe its public information program to inform affected property owners and tenants of the nature of the project and the proposed timeframe for project construction and restoration activities.

The proposed Project will be located on property owned by AEP Ohio Transco and the Athens County Port Authority. Within seven days of filing this LON, AEP Ohio Transco will issue a public notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the Project Area. The notice will comply with all requirements under O.A.C. Section 4906-6-08(A)(1-6).

Further, AEP Ohio Transco maintains a website (http://aeptransmission.com/ohio/) which provides the public access to an electronic copy of this LON and the public notice for this LON. The LON will also be sent to applicable public officials concurrently with submittal to the OPSB, and a paper copy of the LON will be provided to the Athens County Public Library.

B(6) Construction Schedule

The applicant shall provide an anticipated construction schedule and proposed in-service date of the project.

Construction is planned to start in January 2018. The in-service date (completion date) of the Project is expected to be on or about September 2018.

B(7) Area Map

The applicant shall provide a map of at least 1:24,000 scale clearly depicting the facility with clearly marked streets, roads, and highways, and an aerial image.

Figure 1.1 included in Appendix A identifies the location of the Project Area on a USGS quadrangle map. Figure 1.2 in Appendix A is an aerial map of the Project Area. To visit the Project from Columbus, take US 33 southeast to the State Route 682 interchange approximately four miles northeast of Athens, Ohio. Take State Route 682 south for 0.25 miles and then turn right (west) on Poston Road (County Road 110). Follow Poston Road west for approximately 2.75 miles. The Project Area is located on the north side of the road.

B(8) Property Agreements

The applicant shall provide a list of properties for which the applicant has obtained easements, options, and/or land use agreements necessary to construct and operate the facility and a list of the additional properties for which such agreements have not been obtained.

The proposed Project will be located on property currently owned by AEP Ohio Transco (Parcels P010010000100, P010010000104, P010010000109) and property owned by the Athens County Port Authority (Parcel P010010000105) immediately adjacent to the existing Poston Station and proposed Lemaster Station. AEP Ohio Transco has secured an option to purchase property from the Athens County Port Authority for this Project. No other property acquisition or easements are required to construct and operate the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line.

B(9) Technical Features

The applicant shall describe the following information regarding the technical features of the Project:

B(9)(a) Operating characteristics, estimated number and types of structures required, and right-of-way and/or land requirements.

The proposed Project will remove two existing H-frame pole structures, one existing guyed threepole structure, and approximately 0.16 miles of existing 138 kV single circuit transmission line. The existing conductor type is 636 KCM ACSR 26/7 "Grosbeak" and the existing shield wire is 3/8 EHS steel. The Project will include the installation of four new steel pole structures and 0.40 miles of new single-circuit 138 kV transmission line, new 1033.5 KCM ASCR 50/7 "Curlew" conductors, along with a 7#8 alumoweld shield wire. All deadends will utilize pier foundations with anchor cages. The design and operating voltage will be 138 kV. Structure design and phasing diagrams are presented in Appendix C.

The proposed Project will occur primarily on property owned by AEP Ohio Transco, though a small portion will be located on Athens County Port Authority property. AEP Ohio Transco has secured an option to purchase property from the Athens County Port Authority for this Project. No other property acquisition or easements are required to construct and operate the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line.

(b) For electric power transmission lines that are within one hundred feet of an occupied residence or institution, the production of electric and magnetic fields during the operation of the proposed electric power transmission line. The discussion shall include:

(i) Calculated Electric and Magnetic Field Strength Levels

This section is not applicable. There are no occupied residences or institutions located within 100 feet of the Project.

(ii) A discussion of the applicant's consideration of design alternatives with respect to electric and magnetic fields and their strength levels, including alternate conductor configuration and phasing, tower height, corridor location, and right-of-way width.

There are no occupied residences or institutions located within 100 feet of the Project. The transmission line removal and relocation work associated with the Project will occur on existing AEP Ohio Transco property and Athens County Port Authority property immediately adjacent to AEP Ohio Transco's existing Poston Station and proposed Lemaster Station. AEP Ohio Transco has secured an option to purchase property from the Athens County Port Authority for this Project. Therefore, no design alternatives were considered.

(c) The estimated capital cost of the project.

The 2018 capital cost estimate for the proposed Project, comprised of applicable tangible and capital costs, is approximately \$1,000,000.

B(10) Social and Economic Impacts

The applicant shall describe the social and ecological impacts of the project.

B(10)(a) Provide a brief, general description of land use within the vicinity of the proposed project, including a list of municipalities, townships, and counties affected.

The Project is located within York Township, Athens County, Ohio. Figure 1.3 in Appendix A shows U.S. Department of Agriculture land use categories for the Project Area. According to this map, land uses in the Project Area consist of grassland, developed land, pasture/hay fields, and deciduous forest. Field observations by AEP Ohio Transco's consultant indicate the Project Area is primarily comprised of "old field" habitat, which can be characterized as non-forested grassland that is occasionally disturbed (mowed, grazed, or cleared) and contains a variety of herbaceous species, young shrubs, vines, and tree saplings. Limited areas of mixed early successional/second growth deciduous/coniferous forest, industrial/developed land, and emergent wetland are also located in the Project Area (see Appendix B). No streams are located in the Project Area.

There are currently no active residences, cemeteries, churches, schools, or other community facilities located within 1,000 feet of the Project Area (as shown on Figures 1.2 and 1.3 in Appendix A). The nearest residences are located along State Route 691 approximately 1,500 feet to the west of the Project Area. A water filtration plant is located approximately 0.25 miles to the east of the Project (approximately 1,000 feet northeast of the existing Poston Station).

No wildlife management areas or nature preserve lands are located within 1,000 feet of the Project. However, the Wayne National Forest, the Hamley Run Floodplain Forest Conservation Site, a Breeding Amphibian Site, a Floodplain Forest Plant Community, and a Mixed Mesophytic Forest Plant Community were reported by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") Ohio Natural Heritage Program ("ONHP") as occurring within one mile of the Project Area (see Appendix B). The proposed Project will not impact any of these resources.

B(10)(b) Agricultural Land Information

Provide the acreage and a general description of all agricultural land, and separately all agricultural district land, existing at least sixty days prior to submission of the application within the potential disturbance area of the project.

The Project is not located within a registered agricultural district, based on coordination with the Athens County Auditor's Office. Additionally, the Project Area does not contain any active agricultural row crop land (see Figure 1.3 in Appendix A and Figure 3 in Appendix B).

B(10)(c) Archaeological and Cultural Resources

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of significant archeological or cultural resources that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

In February and March of 2017, AEP Ohio Transco's consultant, Weller & Associates, Inc. (Weller) conducted Phase I cultural resource management investigations for the Project (see Appendix B of the previously filed LON under PUCO Case No. 17-0635-EL-BLN). The field investigations were conducted within the original Project Area. AEP Ohio Transco's consultant conducted additional cultural resources surveys within the revised Project Area on October 31, 2017. The Project plans are to slightly move the proposed Lemaster Station location and Lemaster-Strouds Run transmission line relocation Project Area to the east. Weller had previously completed cultural resource management surveys for the Lemaster-Strouds Run transmission line relocation as it was proposed, which is just west of the currently planned area. The work involved visual inspection of the subject area and shovel probing to verify the conditions. The field investigations did not identify any cultural materials and the area was found to be fully and severely disturbed by grading activity associated with coal mining and activities associated with the extant Poston Station. Weller did not recommend any additional work for the Project.

The literature review conducted for this Project indicated previous cultural resource management activity involving the northern and southern portions of the Project Area (Weller 2016; Otto 1976). However, there are no previously identified sites within the Project Area. Weller's 2016 survey was for a tract where the new Lemaster Station is planned. Otto's survey (1976) was for an electric line corridor. The Poston Station (ATH0063302) is located within the study area, though this site is not regarded as significant. Site 33AT1057 is located near the western edge of the previous study area. This site was recommended for additional work if it were to be impacted. However, it is not near the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV transmission line relocation Project Area. For more information, see the Phase I Cultural Resources Management Investigations report included in Appendix B of the previously filed LON under PUCO Case No. 17-0635-EL-BLN. An addendum cultural resources survey letter report has been prepared under separate cover.

The Project will not directly involve any buildings, structures, or archaeological sites. The archaeological field reconnaissance involved subsurface testing and visual inspection and determined that the Project Area has been severely altered and disturbed or previously investigated. No cultural materials were identified during these investigations. The Project will not involve or impact any significant cultural resources or landmarks and AEP Ohio Transco's consultant recommends no further cultural resource management work.

B(10)(d) Local, State, and Federal Agency Correspondence

Provide a list of the local, state, and federal governmental agencies known to have requirements that must be met in connection with the construction of the project, and a list of documents that have been or are being filed with those agencies in connection with siting and constructing the project.

Best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented and maintained to minimize erosion and control sediment to protect surface water quality during storm events. If applicable (based on the final Project disturbance area), a project-specific Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared and a Notice of Intent (NOI) will be filed with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency for authorization of construction storm water discharges under General Permit OHC000004.

No streams are located in the Project Area. However, one emergent wetland is located in the Project Area (see Appendix B). Transmission line removal and relocation activities are not expected to result in the discharge of fill material in this wetland, and timber mats will be utilized at the wetland location if equipment crossings are required. Therefore, the Project is not expected to require a Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, a Pre-Construction Notification to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, or a Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency.

The Project is not located within a Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") 100-year floodplain area. Therefore, no floodplain permitting is required for the Project. There are no other known local, state or federal requirements that must be met prior to commencement of the Project.

B(10)(e) Threatened, Endangered, and Rare Species

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of federal and state designated species (including endangered species, threatened species, rare species, species proposed for listing, species under review for listing, and species of special interest) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") Midwest Region's Ohio County Distribution of Federally-Listed Threatened, Endangered, Proposed, and Candidate Species (available at https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/lists/ohio-cty.html) was reviewed to determine the threatened and endangered species currently known to occur in Athens County. This USFWS publication listed the following threatened or endangered species as occurring in Athens County: Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis; federally endangered), northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis; federally threatened), fanshell (Cyprogenia stegaria; federally endangered), sheepnose (Plethobasus cyphyus; federally endangered), pink mucket pearly mussel (Lampsilis

abrupta; federally endangered), snuffbox (*Epioblasma triquetra*; federally endangered), and American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*; federally endangered). Limited amounts of potentially suitable Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat habitat is present within the Project Area, though no potential roost trees or hibernacula for these species were observed during threatened and endangered species habitat assessment field surveys completed for the Project. No potential habitat for other federally listed species was observed within the Project Area. As part of the ecological study completed for the Project, a coordination letter was submitted to the USFWS Ohio Ecological Services Field Office seeking technical assistance on the Project for potential impacts to threatened or endangered species. The November 28, 2016 response letter from USFWS (see Appendix B) indicated that the proposed Project is within the range of the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat in Ohio, and within the vicinity of one or more confirmed records of Indiana bats, but if tree clearing occurs between October 1 and March 31, they do not anticipate the Project having any adverse effects to these species or any other federally listed endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species. The USFWS letter did not include any comments specific to the other federally listed species.

Several state-listed threatened species, endangered species, and species of concern are listed by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (<u>http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/portals/wildlife/pdfs/species%20and%20habitats/state-listed%20species/athens.pdf</u>) as occurring, or potentially occurring in Athens County. These state-listed species are addressed in detail in the Ecological Resources Inventory Report included in Appendix B.

Coordination letters were submitted via email to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") Division of Wildlife ("DOW") Ohio Natural Heritage Program ("ONHP") and the ODNR - Office of Real Estate in November 2016, seeking an environmental review of the proposed Project for potential impacts on state-listed and federally-listed threatened or endangered species. Correspondence from ODNR's DOW/OHNP and the ODNR – Office of Real Estate was received on November 17 and December 30, 2016, respectively (see Appendix B).

According to the ODNR - Office of Real Estate, the Project is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat and presence of the Indiana bat has been established in the area. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area, the ODNR recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area and trees must be cut, the ODNR recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If no tree removal is proposed, this Project is not likely to impact this species. The ODNR - Office of Real Estate also indicated that due to the Project location, and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, this Project is not likely to impact federal and state-listed mussel species. The Project is also within the range of the channel darter (*Percina copelandi*), a state threatened fish, and the river darter (*Percina shumardi*), a state threatened fish. The ODNR - Office of Real Estate recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed, this Project is not likely to impact these or other aquatic species. The project is also within the range of the timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus horridus*), a state endangered species and a federal species of concern, the eastern spadefoot toad (*Scaphiopus holbrookii*), a state endangered species, mud salamander (*Pseudotriton montanus*), a

state threatened species, and black bear (*Ursus americanus*), a state endangered species. The ODNR - Office of Real Estate indicated that due to the location, the type of habitat present at the project site, and the type of work proposed, this Project is not likely to impact these species.

According to the DOW/OHNP, three species are known to occur within a one-mile radius of the Project Area, including rough boneset (*Eupatorium pilosum*; status not yet determined), a caddisfly (*Brachycentrus numerosus*; state endangered), and eastern box turtle (*Terrapene carolina*; state species of concern). None of these known locations is within or in the immediate vicinity of the Project Area and no impacts to these species are anticipated (see Appendix B for further information). Potentially suitable habitat for three other state-listed species, black bear (*Ursus americanus*; state endangered), marsh fern moth (*Fagitana littera*; state threatened), and timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus horridus;* state endangered) was observed in the Project Area, and no impacts to these species is known to occur within a mile of the Project Area, and no impacts to these species are anticipated (see Appendix B for Area. However, none of these species is known to occur within a mile of the Project Area, and no impacts to these species are anticipated (see Appendix B for further information).

B(10)(f) Areas of Ecological Concern

Provide a description of the applicant's investigation concerning the presence or absence of areas of ecological concern (including national and state forests and parks, floodplains, wetlands, designated or proposed wilderness areas, national and state wild and scenic rivers, wildlife areas, wildlife refuges, wildlife management areas, and wildlife sanctuaries) that may be located within the potential disturbance area of the project, a statement of the findings of the investigation, and a copy of any document produced as a result of the investigation.

The ODNR DOW/OHNP response indicated that they are unaware of any unique geological features or scenic rivers within a mile of the Project Area, but did state that the Wayne National Forest, the Hamley Run Floodplain Forest Conservation Site, a Breeding Amphibian Site, a Floodplain Forest Plant Community, and a Mixed Mesophytic Forest Plant Community exist within a one-mile radius of the Project. However, none of these known locations occur within or immediately adjacent to the Project Area and no impacts are anticipated (see Appendix B). Correspondence received from the USFWS (see Appendix B) indicated that there are no federal wilderness areas, wildlife refuges, or designated critical habitat in the Project vicinity.

The FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map was consulted to identify any floodplains/flood hazard areas that have been mapped in the Project Area (specifically, map number 39009C0095C). Based on this map, no mapped FEMA floodplains are located in the Project Area. Therefore, no floodplain permits will be required for this Project.

Wetland and stream delineation field surveys were completed within the Project Area by AEP Ohio Transco's consultant in November 2016 and November 2017. The results of the wetland and stream delineations are presented in the Ecological Resources Inventory Report included in Appendix B. No streams were identified in the Project Area. However, one emergent wetland is located in the Project Area (see Appendix B). Proposed transmission line removal and relocation

activities are not expected to result in the discharge of fill material in this wetland, and timber mats will be utilized at the wetland location if equipment crossings are required.

B(10)(g) Unusual Conditions

Provide any known additional information that will describe any unusual conditions resulting in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

To the best of AEP Ohio Transco's knowledge, no unusual conditions exist that would result in significant environmental, social, health, or safety impacts.

LETTER OF NOTIFICATION FOR LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT UPDATE

Appendix A. Project Maps







Page 1 of 1













Notes

- 1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Ohio South FIPS 3402 Feet 2. Data Sources Include: Stantec, AEP, NLCD, NADS, OGRIP
- 3. Orthophotography: 2015, NAIP



Figure 1.4 - Concept Map



LETTER OF NOTIFICATION FOR LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT UPDATE

Appendix B. Ecological Resources Inventory Report

Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Relocation Project, Athens County, Ohio

Ecological Resources Inventory Report



Prepared for: AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. 700 Morrison Road Gahanna, Ohio 43230

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45241

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	METHODS	. 2
2.1	WETLAND DELINEATION	. 2
2.2	STREAM DELINEATION	. 2
2.3	RARE SPECIES	. 2
3.0	RESULTS	. 3
3.1	TERRESTRIAL HABITAT	. 3
3.2	WETLANDS	. 3
3.3	STREAMS	. 4
3.4	RARE, THREATENED, OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT	. 5
4.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	12
5.0	REFERENCES	14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Found within the Lemaster-Strouds	
Run 138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio	3
Table 2. Summary of Wetland Resources Found within the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV	
Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio	. 4
Table 3. Summary of Potential Ohio State-Listed Species within the Lemaster-Strouds Ru	n
138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio	5
Table 4. Summary of Potential Federally-Listed Species within the Lemaster-Strouds Run	
138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio	10

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPEN	DIX A	FIGURES	۹.1
A.1 A.2 A.3	Figure 1 – Figure 2 – Figure 3 –	Project Location MapA Wetland and Waterbody Delineation MapA Habitat Assessment MapA	4.1 4.2 4.3
APPEN	IDIX B	AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE	3.1
APPEN	DIX C	REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS	2.1
APPEN D.1 D.2	I DIX D Wetland I ORAM Da	DATA FORMSE Determination Data FormsE ata FormsE).1).1).2



1.0 Introduction

AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. (AEP) is proposing to relocate a portion of the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV electric transmission line in Athens County, Ohio (Figure 1, Appendix A). The Project includes removing approximately 0.16 miles of existing 138 kV transmission line which terminates at AEP's existing Poston Station and constructing approximately 0.40 miles of new 138 kV transmission line which will terminate at AEP's proposed Lemaster Station (Figure 1, Appendix A). The proposed Lemaster Station is a separate AEP project. The Project area (as depicted on Figures 1, 2, and 3 in Appendix A) was surveyed for wetlands, waterbodies, and potential threatened, endangered, and rare species habitat by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) biologists on November 7-8, 2016, and November 10, 2017. The approximate locations of features adjacent to the Project area were also recorded during field surveys. These features are shown on the Figure 2 maps in Appendix A as "approximate" wetlands, streams, open waters, and upland drainage features. This report covers recent revisions to the proposed Lemaster-Strouds Run transmission line location due to a shift in the location of **the** proposed Lemaster Station. The previous proposed transmission line and station locations are illustrated in Figures 1, 2, and 3 for documentation purposes.



2.0 Methods

2.1 WETLAND DELINEATION

Prior to completing the field surveys, a desktop review of the Project area was conducted using U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic mapping, National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil surveys, and aerial imagery mapping. Stantec completed a wetland delineation study in accordance with the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region* (Version 2.0) (USACE 2012). Wetland categories were classified using the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method (ORAM) for Wetlands Version 5.0 (Mack 2001).

2.2 STREAM DELINEATION

Streams that demonstrated a continuously defined channel (bed and bank), ordinary high water mark (OHWM), and the disturbance of terrestrial vegetation were delineated within the Project area, per the protocols outlined in the USACE's Guidance on Ordinary High Water Mark Identification (Regulatory Guidance Letter, No. 05-05) (USACE 2005). Delineated streams were classified as ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial per definitions in the Federal Register/Vol. 67, No. 10 (USACE 2002). Functional assessment of streams within the Project area was based on completion of the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's (OEPA) Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI) and/or Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI). The centerline of each waterway was identified and surveyed using a handheld sub-meter accuracy GPS unit and mapped with GIS software. Additionally, the locations of ponds/open water features and upland drainage features (which lacked a continuously defined bed and bank/OHWM) identified within the Project area were also recorded with a sub-meter accuracy GPS unit during the field surveys.

2.3 RARE SPECIES

Prior to conducting the field surveys, Stantec contacted the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for information regarding rare, threatened, or endangered species and their habitats of concern within the vicinity of the Project area (Appendix B – Agency Correspondence). To assess potential impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered species, Stantec scientists conducted a pedestrian reconnaissance of the proposed Project area, collected information on existing habitats within the Project area, and assessed the potential for these habitats to be used by these species.



3.0 Results

3.1 TERRESTRIAL HABITAT

Stantec completed field surveys within the Project area on November 7-8, 2016, and November 10, 2017, for threatened and endangered species or their habitats. Figure 3 (Appendix A) shows the vegetation communities/habitats and locations of any identified rare, threatened or endangered species habitat observed within the Project area. Representative photographs of the vegetation communities/habitats identified within the Project area are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figures 2 and 3, Appendix A). Information regarding the vegetation communities/habitats identified within the Project area is provided in Table 1.

Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types within the Project Area	Degree of Human-Related Ecological Disturbance	Unique, Rare, or High Quality?	Approximate Acreage Within Project Area
Old Field	Extreme Disturbance/Ruderal Community (dominated by opportunistic invaders or native highly tolerant taxa). Dominant species include tall fescue (<i>Schedonorus</i> <i>arundinaceus</i>), broomsedge bluestem (<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>), goldenrod (<i>Solidago sp.</i>), and aster (<i>Symphyotrichum sp.</i>).	No	5.6
Mixed Early Successional/ Second Growth Deciduous/Coniferous Forest	Some past disturbance but trending to naturalized. Dominated by sugar maple (Acer saccharum), beech (Fagus grandifolia), pine (Pinus sp.) and American elm (Ulmus americana).	No	0.9
Industrial	Extreme Disturbance/existing gravel pad. Dominated by dandelion (<i>Taraxacum officionale</i>) and white clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i>).	No	0.4
		Total	6.9

Table 1. Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Found within the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio

3.2 WETLANDS

Stantec completed field surveys within the Project area on November 7-8, 2016, and November 10, 2017, for wetlands and waterbodies. Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the wetlands identified by Stantec within the Project area. Representative photographs of the wetlands identified within



the Project area are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figure 2, Appendix A). Completed wetland determination and ORAM data forms are included in Appendix D. Information regarding the Cowardin classification and ORAM categories of wetlands identified within the Project is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of Wetland Resources Found within the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kVTransmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio

Wetland Name	Figure 2 Photo Location ¹	Isolated?	Wetland Classification ²	ORAM Score ⁴	ORAM Category ⁴	Delineated Area (acres) within Project Area				
Wetland 1	1	No	PEM ³	12	1	0.02				
TOTAL 0.02										
¹ Figure 2 and Ap	opendix C – R	epresentativ	ve Photographs							
² Wetland classifi	cation is base	ed on Cowa	rdin et al. (1979).							
³ PEM = Palustrine	e Emergent V	/etland								
⁴ ORAM Score and Category are based on the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands v. 5.0 (Mack 2001).										

3.3 STREAMS

Stantec completed field surveys within the Project area on November 7-8, 2016, and November 10, 2017, for wetlands and waterbodies. No streams or open waters were identified within the Project area. However, Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the locations of upland drainage features identified within the Project area. Representative photographs of upland drainage features identified within the Project area are included in Appendix C of this report (photo locations are shown on Figure 2, Appendix A).



3.4 RARE, THREATENED, OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT

Table 3. Summary of Potential Ohio State-Listed Species within the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio

Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Known Within One Mile of Project Area? ³	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	ODNR Comments/Recommendations
					Insects			
Regal Fritillary	Speyeria idalia	E	Yes	No	Occurs in tall grass prairie remnants (Lotts and Naberhaus 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
Grizzled Skipper	Pyrgus centaureae wyandot	E	Yes	No	This species is associated with openings in mature oak forests that support stands of Canada cinquefoil. Most of these areas are highly disturbed, and are characterized by fair amounts of exposed soil and rock (ODNR 2017b).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
American Burying Beetle	Nicrophorus americanus	E	Yes	No	Current information suggests this species is a habitat generalist, or one that lives in many types of habitat, but with a slight preference for grasslands and the open understory of oak-hickory forests (ODNR 2017b).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
Caddisfly	Brachycentrus numerosus	E	Yes	Yes	Habitat preference has not been assessed at this time (NatureServe 2017), though caddisflies normally occur in streams, rivers, and ponds.	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
Marsh Fern Moth	Fagitana littera	T	Yes	No	This species typically occurs in unforested wetlands such as bogs, shrub swamps, and marshes. This species also occurs along wet powerlines and wet open pinelands (New York Natural Heritage Program 2015).	Yes	Some potentially suitable habitat was observed within the Project area. However, this species is not known to occur within the vicinity of the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
	-				Fishes	-		
Channel Darter	Etheostoma tippecanoe	Т	Yes	No	This fish prefers medium to large streams in the Ohio River drainage system and are found in riffles of moderate current with substrate of gravel or cobble sized rocks (ODNR 2017b).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact this species or other aquatic species.



Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Known Within One Mile of Project Area? ³	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	ODNR Comments/Recommendations
River Darter	Percina shumardi	Т	Yes	No	Large rivers and lower portions of tributaries; deep chutes and riffles where current is swift and substrates are coarse gravel or rock (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	ODNR recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact this species or other aquatic species.
					Amphibians	-		
Midland Mud Salamander	Pseudotriton montanus diastictus	Т	Yes	No	Muddy springs, slow floodplain streams, and swamps along slow streams; backwater ponds and marshes created by beaver activity (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and type of work proposed, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Eastern Spadefoot	Scaphiopus holbrookii	E	Yes	No	Eastern spadefoots occur in areas of sandy, gravelly, or soft, light soils in wooded or unwooded terrain. On land, they range up to at least several hundred meters from breeding sites. When inactive, they remain burrowed in the ground. Eggs and larvae develop in temporary pools formed by heavy rains. Breeding sites include temporary pools and areas flooded by heavy rains (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and type of work proposed, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Eastern Hellbender	Cryptobranchus alleganiensis alleganiensis	E	Yes	No	Rocky, clear creeks and rivers, usually where there are large shelter rocks. The species prefers cool waters with temperatures usually lower than 20 degrees Celsius. High amounts of instream cover are needed for shelter/reproduction, including large flat rocks or submerged logs (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
					Mussels			
Clubshell	Pleurobema clava	E	Yes	No	The clubshell is found in small to medium rivers, but occasionally found in large rivers, especially those having large shoal areas. It is generally found in clean, coarse sand and gravel in runs, often just downstream of a riffle and cannot tolerate mud or slackwater conditions (USFWS 1994). Badra and Goforth (2001) found the clubshell in gravel/sand substrate, in runs having laminar flow (0.06-0.25 m/sec) within small to medium sized streams.	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Snuffbox	Epioblasma triquetra	E	Yes	No	Occurs in medium-sized streams to large rivers generally on mud, rocky, gravel, or sand substrates in flowing water. Often deeply buried in substrate and overlooked by collectors (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.

Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Known Within One Mile of Project Area? ³	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	ODNR Comments/Recommendations
Fanshell	Cyprogenia stegaria	E	Yes	No	Medium to large streams and rivers with moderate to strong current in coarse sand and gravel and depth ranging from shallow to deep (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Pink Mucket	Lampsilis orbiculata	E	Yes	No	Large rivers in habitats ranging from silt to boulders, but apparently more commonly from gravel and cobble. Collected from shallow and deep water with current velocity ranging from zero to swift, but never standing pools of water (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Sheepnose	Plethobasus cyphyus	E	Yes	No	Although it does inhabit medium-sized rivers, this mussel generally has been considered a large-river species. It may be associated with riffles and gravel/cobble substrates but usually has been reported from deep water with slight to swift currents and mud, sand, or gravel bottoms. It also appears capable of surviving in reservoirs. Specimens in larger rivers may occur in deep runs (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Black Sandshell	Ligumia recta	Т	Yes	No	Typically found in medium-sized to large rivers in locations with strong current and substrates of coarse sand and gravel with cobbles in water depths from several inches to six feet or more (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Threehorn Wartyback	Obliquaria reflexa	Т	Yes	No	This species is typical of the large rivers where there is moderately strong current and a stable substrate composed of gravel, sand, and mud (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Fawnsfoot	Truncilla donaciformis	т	Yes	No	This species occurs in both large and medium-sized rivers at normal depths varying from less than three feet up to 15 to 18 feet in big rivers such as the Tennessee. Substrates of either sand or mud are suitable and although it is typically found in moderate current, it can adapt to a lake or embayment environment lacking current (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the Project location and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
					Mammals			
Indiana Bat	Myotis sodalis	E	Yes	No	The Indiana bat is likely distributed over the entire State of Ohio, though not uniformly. This species generally forages in openings and edge habitats within upland and floodplain forest, but they also forage over old fields and pastures (Brack et al. 2010). Natural roost structures include trees (live or dead) with exfoliating bark, and exposure to solar radiation. Other important factors for roost trees include relative location to other trees, a permanent water source and foraging areas; Dead trees are preferred as maternity roosts; however, live trees are often used as secondary roosts depending on microclimate conditions (USFWS 2007; USFWS 2017b). Roosts have also occasionally been found to consist of cracks and hollows in trees, utility poles, buildings, and bat boxes. Primarily use caves for hibernacula, although are also known	No	No hibernacula or suitable roost trees were observed within the Project area. If AEP determines trees >3" dbh must be removed for this project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31. Therefore, no adverse effects are anticipated.	The project is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat. Presence of the Indiana bat has been established in the area, and therefore additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area, ODNR recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area and trees must be cut, ODNR recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If no tree removal is proposed, this project is



Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Known Within One Mile of Project Area? ³	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	ODNR Comments/Recommendations
					to hibernate in abandoned underground mines (Brack et al. 2010).			not likely to impact this species.
Allegheny Woodrat	Neotoma magister	E	Yes	No	Typical habitat is rocky cliffs and slopes (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
Black Bear	Ursus americanus	E	Yes	No	Black bears inhabit forests and nearby openings, including forested wetlands. When inactive, they occupy dens under fallen trees, ground-level or above-ground tree cavities or hollow logs, underground cave-like sites, or the ground surface in dense cover (NatureServe 2017).	Yes	Habitat was observed within the Project area, but due to the mobility of this species no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the mobility of this species, the Project is not likely to impact this species.
Northern Long- eared Bat	Myotis septentrionalis	SOC	Yes	No	The northern long-eared bat is found throughout Ohio. This species generally forages in forested habitat and openings in forested habitat and utilizes cracks, cavities, and loose bark within live and dead trees, as well as buildings as roosting habitat (Brack et al. 2010; USFWS 2016). The species utilizes caves and abandoned mines as winter hibernacula. Various sized caves are used providing they have a constant temperature, high humidity, and little to no air current (Brack et al. 2010).	No	No hibernacula or suitable roost trees were observed within the Project area. If AEP determines trees >3" dbh must be removed for this project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31. Therefore, no adverse effects are anticipated.	No comments received.
	-		-	-	Reptiles	-	-	·
Timber Rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus horridus	E	Yes	No	In the central Midwest, optimum habitat is a high, dry ridge with oak-hickory forest interspersed with open areas. Hibernacula are typically located in a rocky area where underground crevices provide retreats for overwintering, such as a fissure in a ledge, a crevice between ledge and ground, and fallen rock associated or unassociated with cliffs (NatureServe 2017).	Yes	Potential habitat (open areas adjacent to hilly forested areas) was observed within the Project area, but typical habitat was not observed and due to the mobility of this species, no impacts are anticipated.	Due to the location, the type of habitat at the project site, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.
Spotted Turtle	Clemmys guttata	Т	Yes	No	Spotted turtles inhabit mostly unpolluted, shallow bodies of water with a soft bottom and aquatic vegetation, such as small marshes, marshy pastures, bogs, fens, woodland streams, swamps, small ponds, vernal pools, and lake margins; in some areas they occur in brackish tidal streams (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
Eastern Box Turtle	Terrapene carolina	SOC	Yes	Yes	This species prefers forests, fields, and scrub shrub habitats. Eastern box turtles use loose soil, debris, and leaf litter for cover. Areas with loose, loamy soils are preferred for egg laying sites (NatureServe 2017).	Yes	This species typically prefers moist forest and scrub shrub habitat as opposed to the open, old field habitat observed in the majority of the Project area. Small amounts of forested habitat are present within the Project area. Due to this and the mobility of this species, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.



Common Name	Scientific Name	State Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens	Known Within One Mile of Project Area? ³	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in	Impact Assessment	ODNR Comments/Recommendations
			County?*		Plants	Project Area?		
Rough Boneset	Eupatorium pilosum	Status Not Determined	Yes	Yes	This species prefers wet meadows and open, swampy woods dominated by native species (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
¹ E=Endangered; T=Th ² According to Ohio	hreatened; SOC=Sp Department of Natu	ecies of Concern Iral Resources, St	n tate Listed Wi	Idlife Species by Cou	unty (ODNR 2017a).			

³According to Ohio Natural Heritage Program (Appendix B).



Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	USFWS Comments/ Recommendations				
Mammals											
Indiana bat	Myotis sodalis	E	Yes	The Indiana bat is likely distributed over the entire State of Ohio, though not uniformly. This species generally forages in openings and edge habitats within upland and floodplain forest, but they also forage over old fields and pastures (Brack et al. 2010). Natural roost structures include trees (live or dead) with exfoliating bark, and exposure to solar radiation. Other important factors for roost trees include relative location to other trees, a permanent water source and foraging areas; Dead trees are preferred as maternity roosts; however, live trees are often used as secondary roosts depending on microclimate conditions (USFWS 2007; USFWS 2017b). Roosts have also occasionally been found to consist of cracks and hollows in trees, utility poles, buildings, and bat boxes. Primarily use caves for hibernacula, although are also known to hibernate in abandoned underground mines (Brack et al. 2010).	No	No hibernacula or suitable roost trees were observed within the Project area. If AEP determines trees >3" dbh must be removed for this project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31. Therefore, no adverse effects are anticipated.	The proposed project is in the vicinity of one or more confirmed records of Indiana bats. Therefore, USFWS recommends that trees >3 inches dbh be saved wherever possible. Since Indiana bat presence in the vicinity of the project has been confirmed, clearing of trees >3 inches dbh during the summer roosting season may result in direct take of individuals. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with USFWS is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and tree removal is unavoidable, USFWS recommends that removal of any trees >3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Following this seasonal tree clearing recommendation should ensure that any effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats are insignificant or discountable.				
Northern Long-eared Bat	Myotis septentrionalis	Т	Yes	The northern long-eared bat is found throughout Ohio. This species generally forages in forested habitat and openings in forested habitat and utilizes cracks, cavities, and loose bark within live and dead trees, as well as buildings as roosting habitat (Brack et al. 2010; USFWS 2016). The species utilizes caves and abandoned mines as winter hibernacula. Various sized caves are used providing they have a constant temperature, high humidity, and little to no air current (Brack et al. 2010).	No	No hibernacula or suitable roost trees were observed within the Project area. If AEP determines trees >3" dbh must be removed for this project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31. Therefore, no adverse effects are anticipated.	No specific comments received (other than discussion of suitable habitat).				
				Birds							
Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	SOC	Yes	Breeding habitat most commonly includes areas close to (within 4 km) coastal areas, bays, rivers, lakes, reservoirs, or other bodies of water that reflect the general availability of primary food sources including fish, waterfowl, or seabirds. This species typically nests in large trees or on cliffs (NatureServe 2017).	No	No nests or suitable nesting habitat was observed in the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.				
				Mussels							
Snuffbox	Epioblasma triquetra	E	Yes	Occurs in medium-sized streams to large rivers generally on mud, rocky, gravel, or sand substrates in flowing water. Often deeply buried in substrate and overlooked by collectors (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.				
Fanshell	Cyprogenia stegaria	E	Yes	Medium to large streams and rivers with moderate to strong current in coarse sand and gravel and depth ranging from shallow to deep (NatureServe 2017).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.				

Table 4. Summary of Potential Federally-Listed Species within the Lemaster-Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Project Area, Athens County, Ohio



Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing ¹	Known to Occur in Athens County? ²	Habitat Preference	Potential Habitat Observed in Project Area?	Impact Assessment	USFWS Comments/ Recommendations
Pink Mucket	Lampsilis orbiculata	E	Yes	Large rivers in habitats ranging from silt to boulders, but apparently more commonly from gravel and cobble. Collected from shallow and deep water with current velocity ranging from zero to swift, but never standing pools of water (NatureServe 2017). No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.		No comments received.	
Sheepnose	Plethobasus cyphyus	E	Yes	Although it does inhabit medium-sized rivers, this mussel generally has been considered a large-river species. It may be associated with riffles and gravel/cobble substrates but usually has been reported from deep water with slight to swift currents and mud, sand, or gravel bottoms. It also appears capable of surviving in reservoirs. Specimens in larger rivers may occur in deep runs (NatureServe 2017). No No No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.		No comments received.	
				Insects			
American Burying Beetle	Nicrophorus americanus	E	Yes	Current information suggests this species is a habitat generalist, or one that lives in many types of habitat, but with a slight preference for grasslands and the open understory of oak-hickory forests (ODNR 2017b).	No	No habitat was observed within the Project area. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
				Reptiles			
Timber Rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus horridus	SOC	Yes	In the central Midwest, optimum habitat is a high, dry ridge with oak- hickory forest interspersed with open areas. Hibernacula are typically located in a rocky area where underground crevices provide retreats for overwintering, such as a fissure in a ledge, a crevice between a ledge and ground, and fallen rock associated or unassociated with cliffs (NatureServe 2017).	Yes	Potential habitat (open areas adjacent to hilly forested areas) was observed within the Project area, but typical habitat was not observed and due to the mobility of this species, no impacts are anticipated.	No comments received.
¹ E=Endangere ² According to	ed; T=Threatened; S OUSFWS (2017a).	SOC=Speci	es of Concer	'n			

4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Stantec conducted a wetland and waterbodies delineation and a preliminary habitat assessment for threatened and endangered species or their habitats within the Project area on November 7-8, 2016, and November 10, 2017. During the field surveys, one palustrine emergent wetland totaling approximately 0.02 acres was identified within the Project area. See Table 2 for more information regarding the wetland classifications and ORAM categories for wetlands identified within the Project area. No streams or open waters were identified within the Project area.

The information provided by Stantec regarding wetland boundaries is based on an analysis of the wetland and upland conditions present within the Project area at the time of the fieldwork. The delineations were performed by experienced and qualified professionals using regulatory agency-accepted practices and sound professional judgment.

Two state-listed species of concern and one state-listed endangered species are known to occur within a one-mile radius of the Project area according to correspondence received from the ODNR Natural Heritage Program (NHP), including rough boneset, eastern box turtle, and a caddisfly, respectively (Appendix B). None of these known locations are within or in the immediate vicinity of the Project area. Some small amounts of potentially suitable habitat for eastern box turtle is present in the Project area, though this species typically prefers moist forest and scrub shrub habitats. No eastern box turtles were observed in the Project area and due to the mobility of this species and the dominant habitat observed in the Project area (open, nonforested), the proposed Project is not expected to impact this species. No habitat for rough boneset or caddisfly occurs in the Project area and no impacts to these species are anticipated. Potential habitat for two other state-listed species, black bear, and timber rattlesnake, were also observed in the Project area. However, neither of species is known to occur within a mile of the Project area, and due to their mobility, no impacts to these species are anticipated. The ODNR NHP also responded that they are unaware of any unique geological features or scenic rivers within a mile of the Project area, but did state that the Wayne National Forest, the Hamley Run Floodplain Forest Conservation Site, a Breeding Amphibian Site, a Floodplain Forest Plant Community, and a Mixed Mesophytic Forest Plant Community exist within a mile of the Project area (Appendix B). However, none of these known locations occur within or immediately adjacent to the Project area and no impacts are anticipated.

According to the ODNR - Office of Real Estate, the Project is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat and presence of the Indiana bat has been established in the area. If suitable habitat occurs within the Project area, ODNR recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the Project area and trees must be cut, ODNR recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If no tree removal is proposed, this Project is not likely to impact this species. No hibernacula or suitable summer roost habitat for the Indiana bat (or northern long-eared bat) was identified in the project area during the field surveys. If trees >3"



dbh must be removed for this Project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31.

The ODNR - Office of Real Estate also indicated that due to the Project location, and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, this Project is not likely to impact federal and state-listed mussel species. The Project is also within the range of the channel darter, a state threatened fish, and the river darter, a state threatened fish. The ODNR - Office of Real Estate recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed, this Project is not likely to impact these or other aquatic species. No streams were identified in the Project area during field surveys and therefore no suitable mussel habitat or fish habitat is located in the Project area. The Project is also within the range of the timber rattlesnake, a state endangered species and a federal species of concern, the eastern spadefoot toad, a state endangered species. The ODNR - Office of Real Estate indicated that due to the location, the type of habitat present at the Project site, and the type of work proposed, this Project is not likely to impact these species.

A technical assistance letter was submitted to the USFWS for this Project. The USFWS response letter (Appendix B) indicates the proposed project is in the vicinity of one or more confirmed records of Indiana bats. Therefore, USFWS recommends that trees >3 inches dbh be saved wherever possible. Because the project will result in a small amount of forest clearing relative to the available habitat in the immediately surrounding area, habitat removal is unlikely to result in significant impacts to these species. Since Indiana bat presence in the vicinity of the project has been confirmed, clearing of trees >3 inches dbh during the summer roosting season may result in direct take of individuals. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with USFWS is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and tree removal is unavoidable, USFWS recommends that removal of any trees >3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Following this seasonal tree clearing recommendation should ensure that any effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats are insignificant or discountable. No hibernacula or suitable summer roost habitat for Indiana bat (or northern long-eared bat) was identified in the Project area during field surveys. If trees >3" dbh must be removed for this project, AEP anticipates clearing the trees between October 1 and March 31. The USFWS also stated that there are no federal wilderness areas, wildlife refuges, or designated critical habitat in the Project area, but recommended that impacts to wetlands and other water resources be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent possible, and that best management practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation and prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment.



5.0 References

- Badra, P. J., and R. R. Goforth. 2001. Surveys for the clubshell (Pleurobema clava) and other rare clams in Michigan: Final Report 2000. Michigan Natural Features Inventory Technical Report 2001-07.
- Brack, Virgil Jr., Dale W. Sparks, John O. Whitaker Jr., Brianne L. Walters, and Angela Boyer. 2010. Bats of Ohio. Indiana State University Center for North American Bat Research and Conservation.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter V., F.C. Golet, E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report No. FWS/OBS/-79/31.Washington, D.C.
- Lichvar, R.W. 2013. The National Wetland Plant List: 2013 wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2013-49:1-241.
- Lichvar, R.W., M. Butterwick, N.C. Melvin, and W.N. Kirchner. 2014. The National Wetland Plant List: 2014 Update of Wetland Ratings. Phytoneuron 2014-41: 1-42.
- Lotts, Kelly and Thomas Naberhaus, coordinators. 2017. Butterflies and Moths of North America. http://www.butterfliesandmoths.org/. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- Mack, J.J. 2001. Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands, Manual for Using Version 5.0. Ohio EPA Technical Bulletin Wetland/2001-1-1. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water, 401 Wetland Ecology Unit, Columbus, Ohio.
- NatureServe. 2017. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.0. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Available at http://explorer.natureserve.org. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- New York Natural Heritage Program. 2015. Online Conservation Guide for *Fagitana littera*. Available at http://www.acris.nynhp.org/guide.php?id=8127. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) Division of Wildlife. 2017a. State Listed Wildlife Species by County. Available at http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/species-and-habitats/statelisted-species/state-listed-species-by-county. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Division of Wildlife. 2017b. Species Guide Index. Available at http://wildlife.ohiodnr.gov/species-and-habitats/species-guide-index/. Accessed November 13, 2017.



- ODNR, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves. 2017c. Rare Plants of Ohio. Available at: http://naturepreserves.ohiodnr.gov/rareplants. Accessed November 2017.
- Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA). 2006. Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Waters: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI).
- OEPA. 2012. Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's Primary Headwater Habitat Streams, Version 3.0. Ohio EPA Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio. 117 pp.
- Parmalee, P. W. and A. E. Bogan. 1998. The Freshwater Mussels of Tennessee. University of Tennessee Press: Knoxville, Tennessee. 328 pp.
- Pfingsten, R.A., J.G. Davis, T.O. Matson, G.J. Lipps, Jr. D. Wynn, and B.J. Armitage (Editors). 2013. Amphibians of Ohio. Ohio Biological Survey Bulletin New Series. Volume 17 Number 1. Xiv +899 p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterway Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- USACE. 2002. Issuance of Nationwide Permits; Notice, 67 Fed. Reg. 10. January 15, 2002. Federal Register: The Daily Journal of the United States. Available at https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2002-01-15/pdf/02-539.pdf.
- USACE. 2005. Guidance on Ordinary High Water Mark Identification (Regulatory Guidance Letter, No. 05-05). Available online at http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/civilworks/RGLS/rgl05-05.pdf. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- USACE. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-16. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1994. Clubshell (Pleurobema clava) and Northern Riffleshell (*Epioblasma torulosa rangiana*) Recovery Plan. Prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hadley, Massachusetts. 68 pp.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007. Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) draft recovery plan: First revision. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ft. Snelling, Minnesota. 258 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2016. Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS): Species Profile for Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*). Available online at https://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile?spcode=A0JE. Accessed November 13, 2017.



U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2017a. Federally Listed Species by Ohio Counties. Available at

https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/lists/pdf/OhioCtyListMay2017.pdf. Accessed November 13, 2017.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2017b. 2017 Range-wide Indiana Bat Summer Survey Guidelines, May 2017. Available at https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/inba/inbasummersurveyguidance .html. Accessed November 13, 2017.
- Watters, G. T., M. A. Hoggarth, and D. H. Stansbery. 2009. The Freshwater Mussels of Ohio. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, OH. 421 pp.


Appendix A Figures

A.1 FIGURE 1 – PROJECT LOCATION MAP









Page 1 of 1

LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO

A.2 FIGURE 2 – WETLAND AND WATERBODY DELINEATION MAP









LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO

A.3 FIGURE 3 – HABITAT ASSESSMENT MAP





Figure No. 3 Title Habitat Assessment Map Client/Project AEP Ohio Transmission Company, Inc. Lemaster-Strouds Run 138kV Transmission Line Relocation Project 193704783 Project Location Prepared by HDB on 2017-11-03 Technical Review by JH on 2017-11-09 Independent Review by DG & AK on 2017-11-15 Athens County, Ohio Ν 150 300 📕 Feet 1:3,600 (At original document size of 11x17) <u>Legend</u> Existing Structure O Photo Location Existing Lemaster-Strouds 🔨 Upland Drainage Feature Run Transmission Line to Approximate Upland be Removed Drainage Feature Proposed Lemaster-< 🔍 Approximate Waterway Strouds Run Transmission Line Relocation Field Delineated Emergent Wetland Former Proposed Approximate Wetland Lemaster-Strouds Run Transmission Line Habitat Area Relocation Industrial Mixed Early Successional/Second Growth Deciduous/ Coniferous Forest Project Area (100' ROW) Proposed Lemaster Substation Fenceline Former Proposed Lemaster Substation Fenceline Old Field

Notes

- Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Ohio South FIPS 3402 Feet
 Data Sources Include: Stantec, AEP, NADS, USGS, OGRIP
 Orthophotography: 2015 NAIP



LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO

Appendix B Agency Correspondence







JOHN R. KASICH, GOVERNOR

JAMES ZEHRINGER, DIRECTOR

Office of Real Estate *Paul R. Baldridge, Chief* 2045 Morse Road – Bldg. E-2 Columbus, OH 43229 *Phone: (614) 265-6649 Fax: (614) 267-4764*

December 30, 2016

Dan Godec Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 11687 Lebanon Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45241

Re: 16-865; Request for Technical Assistance, AEP Lemaster Station Project

Project: The proposed project involves the construction of the Lemaster Station.

Location: The proposed project is located in York, Dover, and Waterloo Townships, Athens County, Ohio.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) has completed a review of the above referenced project. These comments were generated by an inter-disciplinary review within the Department. These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the National Environmental Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, Ohio Revised Code and other applicable laws and regulations. These comments are also based on ODNR's experience as the state natural resource management agency and do not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Natural Heritage Database: The Natural Heritage data request response is included on pages 3-4 of the project documentation.

Fish and Wildlife: The Division of Wildlife (DOW) has the following comments.

The DOW recommends that impacts to streams, wetlands and other water resources be avoided and minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that best management practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The project is within the vicinity of records for the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species. Presence of the Indiana bat has been established in the area, and therefore additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence in the area. The following species of trees have relatively high value as potential Indiana bat roost trees: shagbark hickory (*Carya ovata*), shellbark hickory (*Carya laciniosa*), bitternut hickory (*Carya cordiformis*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), shingle oak (*Quercus imbricaria*), northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), slippery elm (*Ulmus rubra*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), sassafras (*Sassafras albidum*), post oak (*Quercus stellata*), and white oak (*Quercus alba*). Indiana bat roost trees consists of trees that include dead and dying trees with exfoliating bark, crevices, or cavities in upland areas or riparian corridors and living trees with exfoliating bark, cavities, or hollow areas formed from broken branches or tops. However, Indiana bats are also dependent on the forest structure surrounding roost trees. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area, the DOW recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area and trees must be cut, the DOW recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If no tree removal is proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the club shell (*Pleurobema clava*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the sheepnose (*Plethobasus cyphyus*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the fanshell (*Cyprogenia stegaria*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the pink mucket (*Lampsilis orbiculata*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the snuffbox (*Epioblasma triquetra*), a state endangered and federally endangered mussel, the threehorn wartyback (*Obliquaria reflexa*), a state threatened mussel, the fawnsfoot (*Truncilla donaciformis*), a state threatened mussel, and the black sandshell (*Ligumia recta*), a state threatened mussel. Due to the location, and that there is no in-water work proposed in a perennial stream of sufficient size, this project is not likely to impact these species.

The project is within the range of the channel darter (*Percina copelandi*), a state threatened fish, and the river darter (*Percina shumardi*), a state threatened fish. The DOW recommends no inwater work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed, this project is not likely to impact these or other aquatic species.

The project is within the range of the timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus horridus*), a state endangered species, and a federal species of concern. The timber rattlesnake is a woodland species. In addition to using wooded areas, the timber rattlesnake also utilizes sunlit gaps in the canopy for basking and deep rock crevices known as den sites for overwintering. Due to the location, the type of habitat at the project site, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the eastern spadefoot toad (*Scaphiopus holbrookii*), a state endangered species. This species is found in areas of sandy soils that are associated with river valleys. Breeding habitats may include flooded agricultural fields or other water holding depressions. Due to the location, the type of habitat at the project site and within the vicinity of the project area, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the mud salamander (*Pseudotriton montanus*), a state threatened species. Due to the location, the type of habitat present at the project site, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the black bear (*Ursus americanus*), a state endangered species. Due to the mobility of this species, this project is not likely to impact this species.

Due to the potential of impacts to federally listed species, as well as to state listed species, we recommend that this project be coordinated with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Water Resources: The Division of Water Resources has the following comment.

The local floodplain administrator should be contacted concerning the possible need for any floodplain permits or approvals for this project. Your local floodplain administrator contact information can be found at the website below.

http://water.ohiodnr.gov/water-use-planning/floodplain-management#PUB

ODNR appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments. Please contact John Kessler at (614) 265-6621 if you have questions about these comments or need additional information.

John Kessler ODNR Office of Real Estate 2045 Morse Road, Building E-2 Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693 John.Kessler@dnr.state.oh.us



JOHN R. KASICH, GOVERNOR

JAMES ZEHRINGER, DIRECTOR

Ohio Division of Wildlife Raymond W. Petering, Chief 2045 Morse Rd., Bldg. G Columbus, OH 43229-6693 Phone: (614) 265-6300

November 17, 2016

Dan Godec Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 11687 Lebanon Rd. Cincinnati, OH 45241

Dear Mr. Godec,

I have reviewed the Natural Heritage Database for the Lemaster Station project area, including a one mile radius, in York, Dover and Waterloo Townships, Athens County, Ohio. The numbers/letters on the list below correspond to the areas marked on the accompanying map. Common name, scientific name and status are given for each species.

A. Wayne National Forest – US Forest Service

- B. Hamley Run Floodplain Forest Conservation Site
- 1. Eupatorium pilosum Rough Boneset, recently added to inventory, status not determined
- 2. Breeding Amphibian Site
- 3. Brachycentrus numerosus caddisfly, endangered
- 4. Floodplain Forest Plant Community
- 5. Terrapene carolina Eastern Box Turtle, species of concern
- 6. Mixed Mesophytic Forest Plant Community

A Conservation Site is an area deemed by the Natural Heritage Program to be a high quality natural area not currently under formal protection. It may, for example, harbor one or more rare species, be an outstanding example of a plant community or have geologically significant features, etc. These sites may be in private ownership and our listing of them does not imply permission for access.

We are unaware of any geologic features, scenic rivers, state wildlife areas, nature preserves, parks or forests or national wildlife refuges or parks within a one mile radius of the project area.

Our inventory program has not completely surveyed Ohio and relies on information supplied by many individuals and organizations. Therefore, a lack of records for any particular area is not a statement that rare species or unique features are absent from that area. This letter only represents a review of rare species and natural features data within the Ohio Natural Heritage Database. It does not fulfill coordination under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S. C. 661 et seq.) and does not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Please contact me at 614-265-6818 if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Debbie Woischhe

Debbie Woischke Ohio Natural Heritage Program

Lemaster Station Project



Godec, Daniel

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: susan_zimmermann@fws.gov on behalf of Ohio, FW3 <ohio@fws.gov> Monday, November 28, 2016 11:29 AM Godec, Daniel nathan.reardon@dnr.state.oh.us; kate.parsons@dnr.state.oh.us Lemaster Electric Transmission Substation Project, Athens Co.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Services Office 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104 Columbus, Ohio 43230 (614) 416-8993 / Fax (614) 416-8994



TAILS: 03E15000-2017-TA-0252

Dear Mr. Godec,

We have received your recent correspondence requesting information about the subject proposal. There are no federal wilderness areas, wildlife refuges or designated critical habitat within the vicinity of the project area. The following comments and recommendations will assist you in fulfilling the requirements for consultation under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA).

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) recommends that proposed developments avoid and minimize water quality impacts and impacts to high quality fish and wildlife habitat (e.g., forests, streams, wetlands). Additionally, natural buffers around streams and wetlands should be preserved to enhance beneficial functions. If streams or wetlands will be impacted, the Corps of Engineers should be contacted to determine whether a Clean Water Act section 404 permit is required. Best management practices should be used to minimize erosion, especially on slopes. All disturbed areas should be mulched and revegetated with native plant species. Prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment is critical in maintaining high quality habitats.

FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES COMMENTS: All projects in the State of Ohio lie within the range of the federally endangered **Indiana bat** (*Myotis sodalis*) and the federally threatened **northern long-eared bat** (*Myotis septentrionalis*). In Ohio, presence of the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat is assumed wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/absence survey has been performed to document absence. Suitable summer habitat for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats consists of a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats where they roost, forage, and travel and may also include some adjacent and interspersed non-forested habitats such as emergent wetlands and adjacent edges of agricultural fields, old fields and pastures. This includes forests and woodlots containing potential roosts (i.e., live trees and/or snags \geq 3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh) that have any exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, hollows and/or cavities), as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded corridors. These wooded areas may be dense or loose aggregates of trees with variable amounts of canopy closure. Individual trees may be considered suitable habitat when they exhibit the characteristics of a potential roost tree and are located within 1,000 feet (305 meters) of other forested/wooded habitat. Northern long-eared bats have also been observed roosting in human-made structures, such as buildings, barns, bridges, and bat houses; therefore, these structures should also be considered potential summer habitat. In the winter, Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats hibernate in caves and abandoned mines.

The proposed project is in the vicinity of one or more confirmed records of Indiana bats. Therefore, we recommend that trees \geq 3 inches dbh be saved wherever possible. Because the project will result in a small amount of forest clearing

relative to the available habitat in the immediately surrounding area, habitat removal is unlikely to result in significant impacts to these species. Since Indiana bat presence in the vicinity of the project has been confirmed, clearing of trees \geq 3 inches dbh during the summer roosting season may result in direct take of individuals. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with this office is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and tree removal is unavoidable, we recommend that removal of any trees \geq 3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Following this seasonal tree clearing recommendation should ensure that any effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats are insignificant or discountable. Please note that, because Indiana bat presence has already been confirmed in the project vicinity, any additional summer surveys would not constitute presence/absence surveys for this species.

If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the ESA, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend that the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species. Should the project design change, or during the term of this action, additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, consultation with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts.

These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the ESA, and are consistent with the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Service's Mitigation Policy. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document. We recommend that the project be coordinated with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact John Kessler, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6621 or at john.kessler@dnr.state.oh.us.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or <u>ohio@fws.gov.</u>

Sincerely,

Dan Everson

Field Supervisor

cc: Nathan Reardon, ODNR-DOW

Kate Parsons, ODNR-DOW

LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO

Appendix C Representative Photographs



Wetland and Waterbody Photographs





Photo Location 1. View of Wetland 1. Photograph taken facing north.



Photo Location 1. View of Wetland 1. Photograph taken facing east.





Photo Location 2. Representative view of upland drainage feature. Photograph taken facing southwest.

Habitat Photographs







Photo Location 1. Representative view of old field habitat. Photograph taken facing northeast.



Photo Location 2. Representative view of old field habitat. Photograph taken facing northeast.





Photo Location 3. Representative view of old field habitat. Photograph taken facing west.



Photo Location 3. Representative view of mixed early successional/second growth deciduous/coniferous forest habitat. Photograph taken facing south.





Photo Location 4. Representative view of industrial habitat. Photograph taken facing south.



Photo Location 5. Representative view of old field habitat. Photograph taken facing northeast.





Photo Location 5. Representative view of old field habitat. Photograph taken facing east.

Appendix D Data Forms

D.1 WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS





WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site:	Lemaster-S	Strouds Run 138 kV	Transmissio	n Line Re	elocation		Stantec Project #:	193704783		Date:	11/07/16	
Applicant:	Project Am	erican Electric Powe	er							County:	Athens	
Investigator #1	: Aaron Kwo	lek		Investi	gator #2:	Jody Ni				State:	Ohio	
Soil Unit:	Fitchville s	Ilt loam, 0 to 3 perce	ent slopes		ol Doliof		IWI/WWI Classification:	None		Wetland ID:	Wetland 1	
Slope (%):	 /0/	Latituda:	00 00001714546		al Reliel:	22 180	e 24520	Datum		Sample Point:		
Are climatic/by	470 drologic con	Laliluue.	39.38321744510	time of ve	$\frac{1}{2}$		24029		NAD03	Section:		
Are Vegetation		or Hydrology	pical for this	turbed?		, explain in r	Δre normal circumsta	<u> </u>	NU >	Section.	1 12N	
Are Vegetation	□, Soli □, □ Soil □	or Hydrology 🗆 sigi	urally proble	matic?						Range.	12N 15W Dir:	
SUMMARY OF										Range.	DII.	
Hydrophytic Ve	egetation Pre	sent?		- Yes				Hydric Soils	Present?		r Yes ⊓ No	
Wetland Hvdro	loav Present	?		⊠ Yes				Is This Same	olina Point V	Within A Wetla	and? Ves No	
Remarks:	3,											
HYDROLOGY	YDROLOGY											
Wetland Hvdr	Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check here if indicators are not present).											
Primary	/:				00011	/•⊔				B6 - Surface Sc	oil Cracks	
	A1 - Surface	Water			B9 - Wate	er-Stained	Leaves			B8 - Sparsely Ve	egetated Concave Surface	
	A2 - High Wa	ater Table			B13 - Aq	uatic Fauna	a Di k			B10 - Drainage	Patterns	
	A3 - Saturation	on Iorke			B14 - Iru	e Aquatic	Plants de Oder			B16 - Moss Trir	n Lines n Water Table	
	B1 - Water N B2 - Sedimer	nt Deposits			C3 - Oxid	lized Rhizo	spheres on Living Roots			C2 - Dry Seaso C8 - Cravfish B	urrows	
	B3 - Drift De	posits			C4 - Pres	sence of R	educed Iron			C9 - Saturation	Visible on Aerial Imagery	
	B4 - Algal Ma	at or Crust			C6 - Rec	ent Iron Re	eduction in Tilled Soils			D1 - Stunted or	Stressed Plants	
	B5 - Iron Dep	oosits an Visible an Asriel Ima			C7 - Thin	Muck Sur	face			D2 - Geomorph	ic Position	
	B7 - Inundati	on visible on Aerial Ima	agery		Other (Ex	cpiain in Re	emarks)			D3 - Shallow Ac	quitard graphic Relief	
									⊻ V	D5 - FAC-Neutr	al Test	
Field Observa	tions:											
Surface Water	Present?	– Yes – No	Denth		(in)							
Water Table P	resent?	□ Yes ☑ No	Depth: Depth:		(in.)			Wetland Hye	drology Pr	esent? ☑	Yes 🗖 No	
Saturation Pres	sent?	⊡ Yes 🔽 No	Depth:		(in.)							
	ded Dete (etc				()		a) if a vailable :		N1/A			
		ват ознов тоопнот	no well aenal	DDOIOS D								
Describe Record		cam gauge, mormon	ng won, aonai	priotoo, p		Ispection						
Remarks:				pnotoo, p		rispection						
Remarks:				priotoo, p		nspection						
Remarks:						nspection			Somowhat		d	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name	e:	FitchvillE silt loam,	0 to 3 percer	nt slopes		nspection	Series Dra	ainage Class:	Somewhat	poorly draine	ed	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri	e: bgroup):	FitchvillE silt loam,	0 to 3 percer	nt slopes	rs.) (Type: C=C		Series Dra	ainage Class:	Somewhat	poorly draine	d	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom	FitchvillE silt loam,	0 to 3 percer	nt slopes	rs.) (Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=I	Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca	Somewhat	poorly draine	ed Texture	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist)	rs.) (Type: C=Co		Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles %	Somewhat	poorly draine	d Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam)	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Moist) 4/2	rs.) (Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=I	Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C	poorly draine	Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 	oncentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/0 Color (Moist) 6/8	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C	Description M=Matrix) Location M	d Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	sence of indicator Matrix Ioist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 	oncentration, D=1	Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M 	d Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 	Dincentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M 	rexture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co 90 	ncentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M 	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 	ncentration, D=1	Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M 	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	sence of indicator Matrix Moist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 	oncentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M 	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co 90 	ancentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Vottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining C C 	Description M=Matrix) Location M	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR 	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 t present	Difference entration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C 	Description MeMatrix) Location M 	red Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam 	
Beschbe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 NRCS Hydric A1- Histosol	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field In	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ------------	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR -	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Moist) 4/2 -	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 t present	oncentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes	e Masses (I RR M	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136)	Description M=Matrix) Location M M 	red Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam -	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 <tr< td=""><td>e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field In</td><td>FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he</td><td>0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped</td><td>nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 </td><td>rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present</td><td>ancentration, D=1</td><td>Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface</td><td>ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, 9 (MLRA 122, 136)</td><td>Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136)</td><td>Description M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F</td><td>ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148)</td></tr<>	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field In	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present	ancentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, 9 (MLRA 122, 136)	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136)	Description M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148)	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 <tr< td=""><td>e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon</td><td>FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he</td><td>0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf</td><td>nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 -</td><td>rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 t present</td><td>Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1</td><td>Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood</td><td>ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA</td><td>Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136)</td><td>Location M Location M M Alo - 2cm M Alo - 2cm M Alo - Coast F F19 - Piedmon</td><td>ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils¹ MuCk (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) tt Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147)</td></tr<>	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf	nt slopes sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 -	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 t present	Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136)	Location M Location M M Alo - 2cm M Alo - 2cm M Alo - Coast F F19 - Piedmon	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ MuCk (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) tt Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147)	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 NRCS Hydric A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Epip A3 - Black Hist A4 - Hydrogen	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR -	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present	oncentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood 48)	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA toricl musci	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) MLRA 136)	Description of the second seco	red Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 NRCS Hydric A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Epip A3 - Black Hist A4 - Hydrogen A5 - Stratified L A10 - 2 om Mixe	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark E2 - Loomy O	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da < Surface (r	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148	Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood H3) F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C <tr tr=""> </tr>	Description of the second state of the second	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A2 - Histic Epip	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy Gi F3 - Depleted	sence of indicator Matrix Moist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da < Surface (r leyed Matri Matirx	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present	Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C <tr tr=""> <</tr>	Description of the second state of the second	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Epip </td <td>e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface</td> <td>FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he</td> <td>0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR -</td> <td>sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da c Surface (r leyed Matri Matrix face ark Surface</td> <td>rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present</td> <td>Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I</td> <td>Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma</td> <td>ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)</td> <td>Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) .148) 7)</td> <td>Description M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Explaged)</td> <td>ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)</td>	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR -	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da c Surface (r leyed Matri Matrix face ark Surface	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present	Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) .148) 7)	Description M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Explaged)	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils ¹ Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol A10 - 2 cm Mud <td>e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR</td> <td>FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted</td> <td>sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da < Surface (r leyed Matri Matirx ark Surface</td> <td>rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present mLRA 147, 148 ix eace</td> <td>Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I</td> <td>Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood H3) F21 - Red Parent Ma</td> <td>ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, e (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)</td> <td>Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C <tr tr=""> <</tr></td> <td>Description of the second state of the second</td> <td>ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils 1 Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)</td>	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da < Surface (r leyed Matri Matirx ark Surface	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present mLRA 147, 148 ix eace	Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood H3) F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, e (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14)	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C <tr tr=""> <</tr>	Description of the second state of the second	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils 1 Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks)	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol A2 - Stratified L	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he urface	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR -	sence of indicator Matrix Moist) 4/2 -	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148 ix e ace	Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) 1 Indicators of hydrophy	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) MLRA 136) (148) 7)	Description of the second seco	e present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 NRCS Hydric A1- Histosol A2 - Histic Epip A3 - Black Hist A4 - Hydrogen A5 - Stratified L A10 - 2 cm Muc A11 - Depleted A12 - Thick Da S1 - Sandy Muc S4 - Sandy Gle Restrictive Layer (If Observed)	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR -	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da x Matrix face e Below Da x Surface (r leyed Matri Matirx face bark Surface construction Depth:	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"	Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) 1 Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) (148) 7)	poorly draine M M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Expla	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils 1 Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks) e present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes No	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol A1- A1 - Depleted	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he ndicators (check he ndicators (check he -	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted F8 - Redox Da	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"	oncentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/O Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) 1 Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) 148) 7) tric vegetation and w Present?	E poorly draine M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Explase) etland hydrology must be	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils 1 Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks) e present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes No	
Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descri Top Depth 0 	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR eyed Matrix Type:	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (N 10YR -	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present mLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"	Image: concentration, D=I Image: concentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood F19 - Piedmont Flood F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) ¹ Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) MLRA 136) (148) 7)	Description of the second state of the second	e present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol A1- Sondy Mue A1- San	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide -ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR eyed Matrix Type:	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted F8 - Redox De	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 ors are no edox Matrix face e Below Da c Surface (r leyed Matri Matirx ark Surface Dark Surface Dark Surface	rs.) (Type: C=Cd % 90 ot present mLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"	oncentration, D=I	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/C Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood 48) F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles % 10 e Masses (LRR N, (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) ¹ Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) (148) 7)	Description of the second state of the second	ed Texture (e.g. clay, sand, loam) silt loam r Problematic Soils 1 Muck (MLRA 147) Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) t Floodplain Soils (MLRA 136, 147) Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks) e present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes No	
Describe Record Remarks: SOILS Map Unit Name Taxonomy (Sul Profile Descrip Top Depth 0 A1- Histosol <td>e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR byed Matrix Type:</td> <td>FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he ndicators (check he Rock</td> <td>0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted F8 - Redox De</td> <td>sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 </td> <td>rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"</td> <td>Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1</td> <td>Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/(Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood 48) F21 - Red Parent Ma</td> <td>ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, 0 (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) ¹ Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil</td> <td>Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) A148) T) Attic vegetation and w Present?</td> <td>E poorly draine M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Explated) Description</td> <td>e present, unless disturbed or problematic.</td>	e: bgroup): ption (Describe to Bottom Depth 10 Soil Field Ir bedon ic Sulfide ayers ck (LRR N) Below Dark S rk Surface ck Mineral (LRR byed Matrix Type:	FitchvillE silt loam, the depth needed to document the inc Horizon 1 ndicators (check he ndicators (check he Rock	0 to 3 percer dicator or confirm the abs Color (M 10YR ere if indicato S5 - Sandy Re S6 - Stripped S7 - Dark Surf S8 - Polyvalue S9 - Thin Dark F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox Da F7 - Depleted F8 - Redox De	sence of indicator Matrix Aoist) 4/2 	rs.) (Type: C=Co % 90 ot present ark Surface MLRA 147, 148 ix e ace 10"	Image: concentration, D=1 Image: concentration, D=1	Series Dra Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/(Color (Moist) 6/8 F12 - Iron-Manganes F13 - Umbric Surface F19 - Piedmont Flood 48) F21 - Red Parent Ma	ainage Class: Coated Sand Grains; Loca Mottles 9% 10 e Masses (LRR N, 0 (MLRA 122, 136) dplain Soils (MLRA terial (MLRA 127, 14) ¹ Indicators of hydrophy Hydric Soil	Somewhat ation: PL=Pore Lining Type C MLRA 136) A148) T) Attic vegetation and w Present?	E poorly draine M=Matrix) Location M Indicators for A10 - 2cm M A16 - Coast F F19 - Piedmon TF12 - Very Other (Explated) Description	e present, unless disturbed or problematic.	

Page 1 of 2



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

	(Creasing identified in all upperson	ore pop potivo or				
Stratum /	(Species identified in all uppercase Plot size: 30 ft radius)	are non-native sp	becies.)			
	Species Name		% Covor	Dominant	Ind Status	Dominance Test Worksheet
1	<u>Species Name</u>	_	<u>% COver</u>	Dominant	<u>Inu.Status</u>	Dominance rest worksheet
<u> </u>						Number of Deminent Species that are OPL $FACM$ or FAC (A)
<u> </u>						Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, of FAC. [A]
3.						
4.						Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5.						
6.						Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7.						
8.						Prevalence Index Worksheet
9.						Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
10.						OBL spp. 80 x 1 = 80
		Total Cover =	0			FACW spp. 30 x 2 = 60
						FAC spp. 0 x 3 = 0
apling/Shrub S	tratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)					FACU spp. 0 $x 4 = 0$
1.						UPL spp. $0 x 5 = 0$
2.						
3						Total 110 (A) 140 (B)
4						
5						Prevalence Index – $R/A - 1273$
6						
7						
<u> </u>						Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators
0.						Hydrophytic vegetation indicators:
9.						Yes No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
10.		T () 0				Yes No Dominance Test is $> 50\%$
		l otal Cover =	0			Yes No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
						└── Yes └── No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
erb Stratum (P	lot size: 5 ft radius)					Yes No Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *
1.	Typha X glauca		70	Y	OBL	
2.	Phalaris arundinacea		20	Ν	FACW	find tators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be
3.	Angelica atropurpurea		5	Ν	OBL	present, unless disturbed of problematic.
4.	Rosa palustris		5	Ν	FACW	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
5.	Solidago gigantea		5	N	FACW	
6	Scirpus atrovirens		5	N	OBL	Tree - Woody plants 3 in (7.6cm) or more in diameter at
7						breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
<u> </u>						
0.						Section (Chrych Woody plants less than 3 in DBH and greater than 3.28
<u>Э.</u>						ft. tall.
10.						
11.						
12.						Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft, tall
13.						and woody plants less than 5.20 ft. tail.
14.						
15.						Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.
		Total Cover =	110			
oody Vine Stra	atum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)					
1.						
2.						
3.						Hydrophytic Vegetation Present Yes No
4.						
5.						
		Total Cover =	0			

Page 2 of 2

Additional Remarks:



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Applicant: Investigator #1: Soil Unit: Landform: Slope (%): Are climatic/hyd Are Vegetation	roject/Site: Lemaster- Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Relocation Stantec Project #: 193704783 pplicant: Project American Electric Power Investigator #2: Jody Nicholson ivestigator #1: Aaron Kwolek Investigator #2: Jody Nicholson oil Unit: Fitchville silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slops NWI/WWI Classification: None andform: Local Relief: Convex lope (%): 4% Latitude: 39.38319645410 Longitude: -82.18026061 re climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks) ✓ Yes No re Vegetation□ , Soil □ , or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are normal circumstances present?								NAD83 No ?	Date: County: State: Wetland ID: Sample Point: Community ID: Section: Township: Range:	11/07/16 Athens Ohio Wetland SP-2 Upland 1 12N 15W/	1 Dir:	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS	or riyurolog	y ∐ Hat	draily probler				⊠ 162			Range.	1377	DII
Hydrophytic Veg	getation Pre	sent?			□ Yes	☑ No			Hydric Soils	Present?			Yes ₪ No
Wetland Hydrol	ogy Present	!?				⊡ No			Is This Samp	oling Point V	Within A Wetla	and? 🗧	Yes <mark>⊯</mark> No
Remarks:													
HYDROLOGY													
Wetland Hydro	ology Indic	ators (Chec	k here i	f indicators a	re not pre	esent):⊠			Secondary:			
Field Observat Surface Water I Water Table Pro	A1 - Surface A2 - High Wa A3 - Saturati B1 - Water M B2 - Sedimer B3 - Drift De B4 - Algal Ma B5 - Iron Dep B7 - Inundati ions: Present? esent?	Water ater Table on Marks Int Deposits posits at or Crust posits on Visible on A	Aerial Ima No No No	agery Depth: Depth: Depth: Depth:		B9 - Wate B13 - Aqu B14 - True C1 - Hydre C3 - Oxidi C4 - Prese C6 - Rece C7 - Thin Other (Exp (in.) (in.) (in.)	er-Stained latic Fauna e Aquatic ogen Sulfi ized Rhizc ence of Re ent Iron Re Muck Sur plain in Re	Leaves a Plants de Odor ospheres on Living Roots educed Iron eduction in Tilled Soils face emarks)	Wetland Hyd	drology Pr	B6 - Surface So B8 - Sparsely Ve B10 - Drainage B16 - Moss Trin C2 - Dry Season C8 - Crayfish Bu C9 - Saturation D1 - Stunted or D2 - Geomorphi D3 - Shallow Ac D4 - Microtopog D5 - FAC-Neutr	il Cracks getated Con Patterns n Lines n Water Tak urrows Visible on A Stressed Pl c Position juitard graphic Relie al Test Yes ☑	ncave Surface ole verial Imagery lants
Describe Record	od Data (str		monitoriu		nhotos r		enection	s) if available:		Ν/Δ			
Remarks:		ean gauge,	monitorii	ng wen, aenar	μιοιος, μ		ispection	s), ii available.					
SOILS Map Unit Name		Fitchville si	t loam	0 to 3 percen	t slons			Series D	rainage Class:	Somewhat	t noorly draine	d	
Taxonomy (Sub	aroup):		it loan,		1 31003			Oches D	ranage class.	Comewhat		u	
Profile Descrip	tion (Describe to	the depth needed to do	ocument the inc	dicator or confirm the abs	ence of indicator	s.) (Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=I	Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covere	d/Coated Sand Grains; Loc	ation: PL=Pore Lining	g, M=Matrix)		
Тор	Bottom			ſ	Matrix				Mottles			Τe	exture
Depth	Depth	Horizo	on	Color (M	oist)	%		Color (Moist)	%	Туре	Location	(e.g. clay	, sand, loam)
0	6	1		10YR	4/4	100						sil	t loam

Page 1 of 2

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): A1- Histosol S5 - Sandy Redox				F12 - Iron-Manganese Masses (LRR N, MLRA 136) F12 - Jumbria Surface mass and the second seco							
 A2 - Histic Epipedon A3 - Black Histic A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide A5 - Stratified Layers A10 - 2 cm Muck (LRR N) A2 - Histic Epiped Matrix S7 - Dark Surface S8 - Polyvalue Below Da S9 - Thin Dark Surface (I F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix 			ark Surface (MLRA 147, 148 rix	9 (MLRA 147, 1	 F13 - Umbric Surface (MLRA 122, 136) F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 148) F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 148) F21 - Red Parent Material (MLRA 127, 147) A16 - Coast Prairie Redox (MLRA 147, 148) F19 - Piedmont Floodplain Soils (MLRA 148) TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface Other (Explain in Remarks) 						
 □ A12 - Thick Darl □ S1 - Sandy Muc □ S4 - Sandy Gley 	k Surface k Mineral (LRR /ed Matrix	N, MLRA 147, 148)	F6 - Redo F7 - Deple F8 - Redo	Dark Surfaction	e ace			¹ Indicators of hydroph	vtic vegetation and v	vetland hydrology must b	e present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (If Observed)	Restrictive Layer (If Observed) Type: Rock]	Depth: <mark>6</mark> "				Hydric Soil	Present?		Yes No
Remarks:											



WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM

Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Wetland ID: Wetland 1 Lemaster- Strouds Run 138 kV Transmission Line Relocation Project Sample Point: **SP-2** (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.) VEGETATION Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius) **Dominance Test Worksheet** Species Name <u>% Cover</u> Dominant Ind.Status 1. -----------2. Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) ------------3. ------------4. Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) ------------5. -----------Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B) 6. ------------7. ------------8. **Prevalence Index Worksheet** ------------9. Total % Cover of: ---Multiply by: --------x 1 = 10. OBL spp. ------------0 0 Total Cover = 0 FACW spp. 5 x 2 = 10 x 3 = FAC spp. 10 30 82 Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) FACU spp. x 4 = 328 1. UPL spp. 0 x 5 = ------------0 2. ------------3. ------------Total 97 (A) 368 (B) 4. ------------5. Prevalence Index = B/A =3.794 ------------6. ------------7. ------------8. Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ------------9. -----------Yes No Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 10. Yes Dominance Test is > 50%------No ------ \checkmark Total Cover = 0 Yes No Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 * \checkmark Yes No Morphological Adaptations (Explain) * \checkmark Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) * Yes No \checkmark 25 FACU Schedonorus arundinaceus Υ 1. * Indators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be 2. 10 Ν FAC Lonicera japonica present, unless disturbed or problematic. 3. 25 Y FACU Solidago altissima 4. Plantago lanceolata 5 Ν FACW **Definitions of Vegetation Strata:** 5. 2 Ν FACU Melilotus officinalis 6 5 UPL Ν Daucus carota Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Achillea millefolium 5 FACU 7. Ν 10 FACU 8. Trifolium repens Ν Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 9. Apocynum cannabinum 10 Ν FACU ft. tall. 10. Dipsacus fullonum 5 FACU Ν 11. -----------Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, 12. -----------and woody plants less than 3.28 ft. tall. 13. ------------

Page 2 of 2

14.				 	
15.				 	Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft. in height.
		Total Cover =	102		
Woody Vine S	tratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)				
1.				 	
2.				 	
3.				 	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present Yes No
4.				 	
5.				 	
		Total Cover =	0		
Remarks:					

Additional Remarks:

LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO

D.2 ORAM DATA FORMS



wetland 1

	Ohio Rapid Assessment Metho 10 Page Form for Wetland Cat	d for Wetlands egorization
Version 5.0	Background Information Scoring Boundary Worksheet Narrative Rating Field Form Quantitative Rating ORAM Summary Worksheet Wetland Categorization Worksheet	Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water Final: February 1, 2001

Instructions

The investigator is *STRONGLY URGED* to read the Manual for Using the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands for further elaboration and discussion of the questions below prior to using the rating forms.

The Narrative Rating is designed to categorize a wetland or to provide alerts to the Rater based on the presence or possible presence of threatened or endangered species. The presence or proximity of such species is often an indicator of the quality and lack of disturbance of the wetland being evaluated. In addition, it is designed to categorize certain wetlands as very low quality (Category 1) or very high quality (Category 3) regardless of the wetland's score on the Quantitative Rating. In addition, the Narrative Rating also alerts the investigator that a particular wetland *may* be a Category 3 wetland, again, regardless of the wetland's score on the Quantitative Rating.

It is *VERY IMPORTANT* to properly and thoroughly answer each of the questions in the ORAM in order to properly categorize a wetland. To *properly* answer all the questions, the boundaries of the wetland being assessed must be correctly identified. Refer to Scoring Boundary worksheet and the User's Manual for a discussion of how to determine the "scoring boundaries." In some instances, the scoring boundaries may differ from the "jurisdictional boundaries."

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for the scoring breakpoints between wetland categories. The most recent version of this document is posted on Ohio EPA's Division of Surface Water web page at: <u>http://www.epa.ohio.gov/dsw/wetlands/WetlandEcologySection.aspx</u>

Name: faron Kwolek Date: Affiliation: stantec Address: Lebanon Rd, CMainnati, OH 45241 **Phone Number** 8200 842 13 e-mail address: grono Kwolek @ stantec.com Name of Wetland: wetland 1 Vegetation Communit(ies): PEM HGM Class(es): Depressions) Location of Wetland: include map, address, north arrow, landmarks, distances, roads, etc. Inet land Endustro Poston Rd Lat/Long or UTM Coordinate 39.383058, -82.178786 USGS Quad Name Nelsonville County AThens Township The Section and Subsection I TIZN RISW Hydrologic Unit Code 050 3 0 20 4 0 8 0 1 Site Visit 11/7/16 National Wetland Inventory Map Ohio Wetland Inventory Map None Soil Survey FCA- Fitch ville silt loam, 0-3% slopes Delineation report/map See Jusisdictional Waters Delineation Report

1

Background Information

Name of Wetland: 1 wetland Wetland Size (acres, hectares): O, OG GC Sketch: Include north arrow, relationship with other surface waters, vegetation zones, etc. wetland poston station Comments, Narrative Discussion, Justification of Category Changes: Final score : Category: l 12

2

Scoring Boundary Worksheet

Wetland 1

INSTRUCTIONS. The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the "scoring boundaries" of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the "jurisdictional boundaries." For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland's jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small or isolated from other surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland. In determining a wetland's scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that Rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Section if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a reference site, conservation site, etc.		
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human- induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or parts of a single wetland.		
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.	<pre>/ *</pre>	
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.	\checkmark	
Step 5	In all Instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.	<u></u>	
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes or rivers, or for dual classifications.		

End of Scoring Boundary Determination. Begin Narrative Rating on next page.

Narrative Rating

wetland 1

INSTRUCTIONS. Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), <u>http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/dnap</u>. The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily by the results of the site visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical or biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Columbus Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle one	\sim
1	Critical Habitat. Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001, of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO Ge to Question 2
	had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000).	Go to Question 2	
2	an individual of, or documented occurrences of federal or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland.	Go to Question 3
		Go to Question 3	$\langle \rangle$
3	Documented High Quality Wetland. Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES	NO
		Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 4
		Go to Question 4	\cap
4	Significant Breeding or Concentration Area. Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or nonbreeding	YES	NO
	waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 5
		Go to Question 5	\bigcap
5	Category 1 Wetlands. Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and hydrologically isolated and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by <i>Phalaris arundinacea, Lythrum salicaria,</i> or <i>Phragmites australis,</i> or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or	YES Wetland is a Category 1 wetland	NO Go to Question 6
-	no vegetation?	Go to Question 6	10
6	Bogs. Is the wetiand a peat-accumulating wetiand that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of investige species (see Table 1) is $< 25\%^2$.	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 7
		Go to Question 7	
7	Fens. Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that	YES	NO
	is saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral ph (5.5-9.0) and with one or more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	Go to Question 8a
		Go to Question 8a	()
8a	"Old Growth Forest." Is the wetland a forested wetland and is the forest characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence of human-caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 were an all aged structure and multilayerad canopies; agreenations of	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland.	Go to Question 8b
	canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?		

wetland 1

01			\bigcirc
80	Mature forested wetlands. Is the wetland a forested wetland with 50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status.	NO Go to Question 9a
		Cate Ouestian On	()
0.2	I ake Frie constal and tributen wetlende	Go to Question 9a	110
3a 	an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this elevation or along a tributary to Lake Eric that is accessible to fish?	YES	NO to Outpution 10
9b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO Go to Question 9c
90	Are Lake Eric water levels the wetlend's primary hydrological influence	Go to Question 10	NO
90	i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aguatic vegetation.	YES Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 10
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland	NO Go to Question 9e
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance	VES	NO
	tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 10	Go to Question 10
10	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) Is the wetland located in	YES	NO
9242	Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this	Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	Go to Question 11
	type of wetland and its quality.		
11	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1. Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio (e.g. Erie, Huron, Lucas, Wood Counties), and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, Van Wort etc.).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Complete Quantitative Rating	Complete Quantitative Rating

invasive/exotic spp	fen species	bog species	0ak Opening species	wet prairie species
Lythrum salicaria	Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus	Calla palustris	Carex cryptolepis	Calamagrostis canadensi.
Myriophyllum spicatum	Cacalia plantaginea	Carex atlantica var. capillacea	Carex lasiocarpa	Calamogrostis stricte
Najas minor	Carex flava	Carex echinata	Carex stricta	Carex atherode.
Phalaris arundinacea	Carex sterilis	Carex oligosperma	Cladium mariscoides	Carex buxbaumi
Phragmites australis	Carex stricta	Carex trisperma	Calamagrostis stricta	Carex pellite
Potamogeton crispus	Deschampsia caespitosa	Chamaedaphne calyculata	Calamagrostis canadensis	Carex sartwelli
Ranunculus ficaria	Eleocharis rostellata	Decodon verticillatus	Quercus palustris	Gentiana andrewsi
Rhamnus frangula	Eriophorum viridicarinatum	Eriophorum virginicum		Helianthus grosseserratu
Typha angustifolia	Gentianopsis spp.	Larix laricina		Liatris spicate
Typha xglauca	Lobelia kalmii	Nemopanthus mucronatus		Lysimachia quadriflor
	Parnassia glauca	Schechzeria palustris		Lythrum alatur
	Potentilla fruticosa	Sphagnum spp.		Pycnanthemum virginianun
	Rhamnus alnifolia	Vaccinium macrocarpon		Silphium terebinthinaceur
	Rhynchospora capillacea	Vaccinium corymbosum		Sorghastrum nutan
	Salix candida	Vaccinium oxycoccos		Spartina pectinate
	Salix myricoides	Woodwardia virginica	8 J	Solidago riddelli
27	Salix serissima	Xyris difformis		
	Solidago ohioensis			
	Tofieldia glutinosa			
	Triglochin maritimum		÷	
	Triglochin palustre			

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.
ORAM v. 5.0 Field Form Quantitative Rating



ORAM v. 5.0 Field Form Quantitative Rating



12

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

2

3

Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality

Present in moderate or greater amounts

and of highest quality

ORAM Summary Worksheet

wetland 1

		circle	
	,	answer or insert	Result
Narrative Rating	Question 1 Critical Habitat	SCORE	If yoo, Catagory 2
		TES (NO	If yes, Category 5.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES (NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES NO	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted with native plants	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	G	
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	3	ALCONTRACTOR
	Metric 3. Hydrology	4	Concerned and the second
	Metric 4. Habitat	21	Description of the second
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0	The second s
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	a	
	TOTAL SCORE	IZ	Category based on score breakpoints

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet.

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

wetland 1

Choices	Circle one		Evaluation of Categorization Result of ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland	NO	Is quantitative rating score <i>less</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>excluding</i> gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over- categorized by the ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	NO)	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If the wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to Narrative Rating No. 5	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	NO	Is quantitative rating score greater than the Category 2 scoring threshold (including any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been under-categorized by the ORAM
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range	NO	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range for a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall with the "gray zone" for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	YES Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria		Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of a nonrapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC rule 3745-1- 54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit moderate OR superior hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was not categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method?	YES Wetland was undercategorized by this method. A written justification for recategorization should be provided on Background Information Form	NO Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be undercategorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local or regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.



End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

LETTER OF NOTIFICATION FOR LEMASTER-STROUDS RUN 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE RELOCATION PROJECT UPDATE

Appendix C. Structure Design and Phasing Diagrams





COMPUTER GENERATED DWG., DO NOT MANUALLY REVISE